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ABSTRACT

Procedural Content Generation (PCG) is deeply embedded in many games. While there are many taxonomies of the applications of PCG, less attention has been given to the poetics of PCG. In this paper we present a poetics for generative systems, including a descriptive framework that introduces terms for complex systems (Apollonian order and Dionysian chaos), the form that describes
the shape of the generated output (formal gestalt, individual, and repetition), the locus of the generative process (structure, surface, or locus gestalt), the kind of variation the generator uses (style, multiplicity, and cohesion) and the relationship between coherence and the content used as input for the generator. Rather than being mutually exclusive categories, generators can be considered to exhibit aspects of all of these at once.
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INTRODUCTION

Procedural content generation has a long history in games. Some of the earliest videogames used generative systems to create dungeons or use less memory. And many analog games have used generative techniques (Smith, 2015). As such, criticism of games needs to be able to effectively analyze the generative systems.

Michael Cook has recently called for an interrogation of the language we use to talk about procedural generation. According to Cook:

The old language of procedural generation needs to be done away with, and in its stead we need a new way of communicating about what we do, and why it’s interesting. We need to debunk the idea of procedural generation as a dark art, and show people that it is accessible, understandable and interesting. (Cook, 2016)

This paper contributes vocabulary to describe the poetics of procedural generation: the ways in which procedural generation communicates meaning. Instead of talking about the large number of planets we can generate, we can instead talk about the effects that the procedural generator can be used to evoke in the player: intentionally overwhelming the player by the sheer size of the
generative space, attachment to a particular unique generated artifact, a sense of ritualistic repetition, and other poetic forms.

Poetics, as used here, refers to a theory of form that studies the creative principles informing a creative work. Just as “Towards a Theory of Choice Poetics” (Mawhorter et al., 2014) describes a poetics of choices in games, we present a theory of generative forms. While taxonomies of procedural generation exist, the focus has been on the how rather than the why. Many taxonomies of procedural generation, such as those presented in Togelius et al. (2011), Yannakakis et al. (2011) and Hendrix et al. (2011) have been oriented around either the domain where the generation is applied or the specific techniques that the generation uses. The poetics of generativity—what it means when we use a particular form of generation and what effect it has on the player—are underexplored. This is unfortunate because understanding the poetics of procedural generation not only points out new directions for future generative research, but also better equips designers to make decisions about how and when to use generative systems, and gives critics the vocabulary to properly dissect the systems they are critiquing.

This paper is a preliminary attempt to understand the poetics of generative content in games. After discussing previous frameworks, this paper is structured as a series of lenses, each of which brings focus to a set of aesthetic dimensions. The relationships between aspects of the lenses are described in spatial terms, metaphorically situating them in space, in an analogy with the way that generative forms deal with distributions in abstract, mathematical probability space, which is an aesthetic element that separates generative forms from other media. Like the visual vocabulary of montage in film or the use of meter and metaphor in language, the poetics of generative systems are built out of this vocabulary of forms.

Many existing aesthetic theories deal with composition, such as composition in time or in the picture plane. Some media vary
across space, such as the panel composition in comics (McCloud, 1993). Other media vary in the more abstract dimension of time, such as cuts in film (Kuleshov, 1974, 5) or measures in music. What sets generative art apart from other media is that it is composed in a completely different dimension: parametric probability space. By imagining the possible results of a generator in this mathematic space, we can apply aesthetic concepts of contrast and balance to probability: for example, the bell curve from rolling a pair of dice on an encounter table is different than rolling a single die.

Where previous discussions of generative art have reduced this distribution of parametric probability to single linear continuums, Apollonian order and Dionysian noise use information complexity to describe a two-dimensional space of possible Generative Complexity.

The lens of Generative Form is about the form the generator itself expresses: through highly-distinct Individual artifacts, a Gestalt sense of the entire output, or highlighting the relationships between artifacts through Repetition of the same form.

Generative Locus inverts this lens and considers generativity from the perspective of the generator’s relationship with the player. Where is the focus of the generation? Is it on the Surface-level results of confronting a snake pit in Spelunky (Mossmouth, LLC., 2013)? Or the rules that dictated the placement of that snake pit, i.e. the Structure? Or the Gestalt effect of how the pit fits into the level as a whole?

Finally, the lens of Variation gives us vocabulary to talk about the distinctions between Multiplicity: generating many perceptually distinct results; Style: generating the right thing; and Cohesion: generating things that agree with each other.
PREVIOUS FRAMEWORKS

There are several previous aesthetic frameworks for PCG that overlap somewhat with the ideas presented here. However, none of them describe a complete poetics.

Expressive Range Analysis

One way of looking at procedural generation that has been proposed by PCG researchers and implemented in PCG analysis tools (Cook, Gow, Colton, 2016) is Gillian Smith’s expressive range analysis: “Expressive range refers to the space of potential levels that the generator is capable of creating, including how biased it is towards creating particular kinds of content in that space” (Shaker et al., 2016, 218).

Measuring the expressive range of a generator is a useful way to understand a generative system (Smith and Whitehead, 2010). But expressive range analysis relies on selecting the right metrics to measure. The original expressive range metrics of linearity and leniency make less sense when divorced from the original context of linear platformer level generation (Summerville, 2018). There is no one right answer: “The metrics used for any content generator are bound to vary based on the domain that content is being generated for” (Shaker et al., 2016, 220). Gillian Smith and Jim Whitehead also suggest that “These metrics should be based on global properties of the levels, and ideally should be emergent qualities from the point of the view of the generator” (2010). That is, rather than measuring the things the generator’s parameters directly influence, it is better to measure something like the linearity of the generated level.

Gillian Smith’s Design-Centric Analysis

In “Understanding Procedural Content Generation” (2014), Gillian Smith uses the Mechanics-Dynamics-Aesthetics (MDA) framework to put forward an analytical framework for Procedural
Content Generation. According to Smith, “it is vital that both AI researchers and designers have a common vocabulary for understanding not just what PCG is but how it can be used to induce particular experiences and what it uniquely offers to game design.” Smith categorizes the approaches used in PCG into optimization, constraint satisfaction, grammars, content selection, and generation as a constructive process.

The aesthetics in the MDA framework refers to a narrow definition of aesthetics: “Aesthetics describes the desirable emotional responses evoked in the player, when she interacts with the game system” (Hunicke et al., 2004). Smith categorizes the forms of MDA aesthetics used in PCG as discovery, challenge, and fellowship.

The Annals of the Parrigues

In 2015, in response to National Novel Generation Month (“NaNoGenMo”) and ProcJam (“ProcJam: Make Something That Makes Something”), Emily Short created a travelogue novel called *The Annals of the Parrigues* (2015) in “collaboration with the machine” (97). As an outgrowth of earlier attempts to generate systematic vocabularies of symbols, after the manner of the symbolism in a tarot deck, she used a set of five principles as “an organizing iconography distinct from traditional groups of elements” (86). Importantly, the principles formed “a system of mutual partial opposition” that deliberately could only be used with a dynamic, rather than static, equilibrium, similar to the use of the color wheel in the design of *Magic: the Gathering* (86).

The five principles she chose—Mushroom, Salt, Venom, Beeswax, and Egg—became a vital part of the world-building. These principles were used as meta tags on the content in the corpus of data the generator used: for example, Short associated Salt with order and regularity, so a town associated with Salt would have austere buildings in desaturated colors, and the religious beliefs would be “an organized kind of religion” (Short 2016b). This has
influenced other designers: Bruno Dias developed a similar system for the procedural generation in the game *Voyageur* (Dias 2017).

But the principles were also reflected in the generative system itself: Salt is also about grammars and generators that operate without human input. Taken as a whole, the five principles form a working framework for a practical generative poetic, expressed as opposing aesthetic forces.

The framework in this paper is partially a response to Emily Short’s framework. Our framework attempts to cover aspects that her deliberately limited tarot suits of principles left out. At the same time, the deliberately overlapping aesthetic tarot has advantages that deserve further study outside of the present discussion.

**Design Metaphors**

In “Design Metaphors for Procedural Content Generation in Games,” Khaled et al. (2013) establish a set of metaphors to describe the relationship between a designer and the generator. To distinguish between the generator being described via metaphor and the human “designer” performing a similar role, they signify the metaphor using small caps, so a “designer” is referring to the machine acting as a metaphorical game designer.

One of the metaphors is the domain expert, a system acting in a role similar to a domain expert who is sometimes used in serious games to provide background expertise on a subject matter. We can extend this metaphor by drawing on the artificial intelligence concept of an expert system. An expert system emulates the knowledge of a human expert by encoding a decision-making process into a knowledge representation. Likewise, a procedural generator as expert system is an expert on the thing it generates. That is, the generator is an expert on itself. The definitive definition of what it means to be a dwarf in *Dwarf Fortress* (Bay
12 Games, 2018) can be found in the data files and processes of the *Dwarf Fortress* source code.

**Generative Art and Effective Complexity**

Games are far from the only place where generativity is used. In the fine art world, generative art has a long and venerable history. It predates computers, but has come into its own as computers have enabled new forms of generativity to be explored. One influential definition of generative art is that of Phillip Galanter:

> Generative art refers to any art practice in which the artist uses a system, such as a set of natural language rules, a computer program, a machine, or other procedural invention, that is set into motion with some degree of autonomy, thereby contributing to or resulting in a completed work of art. (Galanter, 2003)

Galanter further applied the concept of effective complexity to generative art. Highly ordered systems (such as crystals or Penrose tiles) are simple. Highly disordered systems (e.g. randomization via cut-up techniques) are conventionally complex. But in information theory terms, effective complexity recognizes that highly disordered systems are nevertheless conceptually simple. Instead, Galanter traces a rough-peaked curve of effective complexity, placing evolutionary systems and artificial life at the peak of the curve (Fig. 1).

Galanter uses this to categorize generative art into highly ordered, complex, and highly disordered. Highly ordered generative art uses patterns and tiling, but little or no randomness. Disordered systems, in contrast, are highly disordered generative art: aleatory music, cut ups, etc. Standing in contrast to the relative straightforwardness of tiled patterns and die rolls, Galanter’s “complex systems” are self-organizing, emergent, and greater than the sum of their parts.
Figure 1: Galanter’s complex systems: generative art on a continuum from order to disorder, with effective complexity peaking in the middle (Galanter, 2016).

Procedural Aesthetics

Matt Stockham presents an aesthetic taxonomy in “Procedural Aesthetics” (Stockham, 2014), focusing on identifying a toolkit of aesthetic devices, including defining regions in generated landscapes, generating rules of play, and using modular music.

In contrast, the aesthetic principles in the present paper are not tied to any specific technique. There are many ways of expressing similar generator outcomes. To give one example: the choice of what kind of noise to use has an effect, but our focus is more on that effect rather than the specific algorithm used to achieve that effect.

POETICS OF PROCEDURAL GENERATION

While sharing some of the same properties as other expressive processes, procedural generators can be characterized on aesthetic measures specific to generativity. These aesthetic properties aren’t an exhaustive list, but rather the properties we judged to be the most relevant. It is also important to note that most of the properties presented here are not mutually exclusive. For example, gestalt and structure are in tension, but a generator can exhibit both properties. Many generators use seemingly contradictory principles in different parts of the system, or encapsulate...
generators that are based on very different principles. Rather than a simple continuum, the poetics presented here can be thought of as a multidimensional space, with some of the dimensions being connected with multiple other aspects.

Most of the examples in this paper will be drawn from either videogames or works made for the annual National Novel Generation Month (NaNoGenMo). Videogames are a broad category that exhibit a mass-media application of generative systems. In contrast, NaNoGenMo projects are participating in a specific artistic discourse, one that has been compared to the ideas in Ken Goldsmith’s *Uncreative Writing* and the Dada art movement (Hume, 2015).

**Figure 2: Generative aesthetic complexity:** rather than a linear continuum, the balance between the Apollonian and Dionysian aspects of generativity can be described as a two-dimensional space. Information and complexity increase towards the upper right, beyond which is the (hypothetical) computer-generated Great American Novel.

![Diagram showing generative aesthetic complexity with points for White Noise, Starfield Screensaver, Perlin Noise, Boids, Tiled Patterns, Sine Wave, Fractals, Conway’s Life, and Mohy Dick, by Pierre Menard.](image)
DIONYSIAN

As we examine the aesthetic effects of procedural generation, effective complexity is an effective starting point. Out of all the properties of procedural systems, perhaps the central tension is between the randomness that generators use for aleatoric novelty and their need for ordered structure gives that novelty the context for it to have any meaning. But they are not a one-dimensional spectrum: order and chaos are not mutually exclusive.

We here introduce terminology derived from Nietzsche’s aesthetic dichotomy of Apollonian and Dionysian impulses. Unlike order and chaos, they are not mutually exclusive (Fig. 2). The reason and order of the Apollonian impulse is balanced against the emotion and chaos of the Dionysian impulse in a dialectic. Apollonian and Dionysian elements exist simultaneously in generative systems. They are both in tension with each other and support each other.

Departing from Galanter’s complex systems, we argue that the PCG systems with the highest effective complexity can be viewed as both highly ordered and highly chaotic. That is, systems that have the most emergent complexity can exhibit both high levels of what we term Apollonian generation—being governed by structured rules—and Dionysian generation—being governed by noise and non-deterministic chaos.

There are also generative systems that exhibit low-order/low noise, though of course on the extreme low end we might hesitate to call them generative at all. Low-complexity generative systems generally use either a degree of structure or a degree of noise. A few use both: the Microsoft Windows “Starfield” screensaver, for example, has a structure (bright points always start near the middle of the screen and fly outwards) and randomness (the position

1. Sometimes titled “Starfield Simulation”. A version of it was included in many editions of Windows (ending with Windows XP). It displayed small dots flying out from the center of the screen at random angles: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r5TmP_tl5RI
and direction of the points). It is definitely generative, but is not particularly complex.

**Dionysian Noise**

Procedural generation in games often starts with randomness. While there are deterministic generators that construct an output via a parametric processes, in practice they often have their parameters controlled by some form of noise or randomness. Clustered around the Dionysian pole, we find such things as aleatory music,\(^2\) the cut-up technique popularized by Burroughs (1961), random tables in Dungeons & Dragons which are themselves descended from prior wargames (Peterson, 2012, 311-314) and terrain generation with Perlin noise (Perlin, 1985).

The most basic use of noise—the die roll, the coin flip—is uniform white noise, with an even distribution and each outcome independent from every other. But noise can also be expressive: both the distribution and the frequency can be adjusted to produce new effects. Adjusting the distribution is a very common method of altering the kind of randomness used—rolling multiple dice and summing the result approximates a bell curve, and countless 20th century wargame and roleplaying designs revolved around applying distortion curves to a die result in the form of a lookup table (Peterson, 2012, 289-290).

Less frequently discussed but equally practical is the spatial or temporal frequency of noise, also called the color of noise, in analogy to colors of light being determined by its wavelength (Mandelbrot, 1983). The color of noise describes the timbre of the sound or the texture of its visual appearance. Red noise has less power in the higher frequencies, while blue noise is the reverse. Both appear in nature and have specific uses in audio production, visual effects, and procedural generation.

---

2. Aleatory music is created with processes involving chance, such as found in many works by John Cage. But the use of dice in music composition goes back much further (Hedges 1978).
More coherent forms of noise, such as Perlin noise, have achieved such ubiquity in procedural content generation that they are sometimes synecdochically identified with procedural content generation itself. Coherent noise is still noise, in that the output can be calculated independently for each point, but the mathematical structure of the noise generator means that neighboring points have values that are related to each other. Dice-metaphors are, of course, only one kind of randomness. A second frequently used paradigm is the shuffle, the metaphor of cards in a deck. This is still a random process, but with very different properties. In particular, sampling without replacement gives a designer much more control over the outcome of a generative system while still involving a large amount of hands-off randomness. Dionysian noise is more than mere chaos.

Apollonian Order

Procedural generation is not just the Dionysian chaos of noise. What makes procedural generation distinct from just white noise is that it has coherence and structure that provides a logical relationship between the generation process and the result.

Generative art can exist with very little randomness, so long as the structure is interesting enough. The simple rules of Conway’s Life (Gardner, 1970) and the geometric tiling patterns in Islamic art, such as deployed in the game Engare (Bahrami, 2017), both follow fixed rules, but can create emergent results of startling complexity.

This complexity can also emerge in entirely deterministic systems. Chaos theory is the study of deterministic but unpredictable systems. Strange attractors (Lorenz, 1963, 130-141) and fractals (Mandelbrot, 1983) are created by ordered processes, but exhibit unpredictable emergent results.

This is complicated by the pseudorandom number generation that is used for most procedural content generation. While some generators use other sources of randomness (most typically
crowdsourced or via some kind of data source) the vast majority of
generators in games use a form of order to create their randomness.
None of these categorizations are pure. Generators can nest inside
other generators or be assembled into generative pipelines that mix
different forms of generativity.

GENERATIVE FORM: REPETITION, GESTALT, INDIVIDUAL

While the Apollonian and Dionysian aspects of generation are
the most obvious aesthetic aspects of procedural generation, other
aspects can be just as important. In what we term the generative
form, the generator balances between the gestalt effect, individual
generated artifacts, and repetition.

Repetition, in particular, is under-discussed but frequently used. In
Emily Short’s aesthetic tarot, the principle of Mushroom describes
the repetition that grows on the forest floor of generativity:

Mushroom is propagative and indifferent to the individual. As long
as there are spores, the fungal principle is content. Mushroom-
writing does not care about an individual instance of output and
does not regret the loss of any element. Mushroom-writing thrives
on decay, the breakdown of old structures, and the creation of new
structures. Mushroom-writing is indifferent to consistency or to the
profile of the resulting whole. It is unapologetic about repetitions.
(Short, 2015, 89)

Here we can see that repetition (and being obviously created by a
generative process) can be a desirable aesthetic goal in itself. Many
existing generators make use of this: Short considers Markov
chains to be “mushroomy” (Short, 2015, 89).

Repetition as an aesthetic device is a common feature in different
media. Many periods of architecture, from the Classical to Gothic
to Modern, involve repeated forms. Many poetic forms use
repetition extensively, ranging from parallel imagery to repeating
words verbatim, to the point that some poetic structures, such
as villanelles, are premised on exactly repeated phrases. Some
generators use this principle directly: the @infinite_scream Twitter bot (Reed, 2015) tweets variations on “AAAAAAHAAA” for followers to interact with.

Generativity allows us to recapture an older form of repetition: in contrast with the identical mass-produced objects of modernity, generativity can approximate the subtle variations of the handmade artisanal craft production. Individual generated artifacts can be made unique, even if they are not novel in the aggregate: bolts on an airplane wing generated with minute variations, as in Denis Kozlov’s Project Aero (Kozlov, 2017); a unique color scheme, as exhibited by the creatures in Spore (Maxis, 2008); or the color of a star in No Man’s Sky (Hello Games, 2016). It returns a sense of uniqueness to the individual manufactured object, though this time it is the individuality of the machine rather than evidence of the direct human hand.

Extending this view, generativity can create a fractal repetition, a repetition where no individual snowflakes are the same, but the combination creates a formal gestalt impression, a generative snowbank.

This gestalt effect, where individual points are less important than the effect of the whole, is an underappreciated aesthetic outcome of generativity. This is one of the ways in which procedural generation can be generative in another sense: having the qualities of plant growth. The aesthetics of generativity are often organic, resembling the verdant emergent forest undergrowth.

A procedurally-generated forest is a good example of the gestalt aesthetic: the exact placement of individual trees is not important as long as there are enough plants to convey the idea of a forest. The gestalt is what matters, not any specific individual or the exact shape of the overall forest.

3. That is, each hand-crafted object is subtly unique. I consider this to be related to the Arts & Crafts movement’s aesthetics, which arose from the rejection of mass-production, as well as more contemporary reactions to mass production in the age of 3D printing.
For the gestalt, both the individual tile and the overall pattern of tiles on an infinite tiled floor are a smaller part of the aesthetic experience. Instead, the viewer’s aesthetic experience of rules that create the pattern is most strongly felt through the perception of something else entirely, a Platonic form that can only be glimpsed indirectly in this liminal space that is neither the whole nor the individual part.

The designers of *No Man’s Sky* (Hello Games, 2016) intended for players to approach its planets as gestalt experiences. Each planet has a single environmental biome and a roughly-even distribution of features specific to that planet. The idea was that this would let players quickly recognize what kind of planet they had found, encouraging exploration of new planets ("Special Edition Podcast: No Man’s Sky", 2014, 22:14). The player’s loss-of-interest pattern-recognition takes hold somewhere between visiting a single location (often too brief to grasp the entire pattern of the planet) and exploring the entire planet (virtually impossible).

Repetition is the Apollonian mirror of the more Dionysian gestalt-perception: the chaos of the forest-gestalt compared to the ordered rows of an orchard. In both cases, the overall perception dominates the aesthetic rather than any single artifact.

In summary, the generative form of a generator’s output can be described in terms of three axes (Fig. 3): First, the importance of the individual artifacts that it generates, such as a tree generator that produces many perceptually unique trees. Second, its overall gestalt effect, as in a forest generator: rather than producing a single object, it produces many objects and uses the relationship between the objects to convey its meaning. The forest generation in *Age of Empires II* (Ensemble Studios, 1999) stamps down a handful of fixed tree sprites that have no shared in-game data structure, but the player still reads it as a forest. Lastly, in contrast to the above, the effect a generator’s output may stem from its use of repetition, as in an orchard of identical trees, whose non-random
juxtaposition exhibits an order that creates contrast against the sea of noise, and implies cause and effect.

**GENERATIVE AESTHETIC FORM**

![Diagram](image)

*Figure 3: Generative aesthetic form: the tension between repetition, individual artifacts, and the generation as a whole.*

**LOCUS: STRUCTURE, SURFACE, GESTALT**

Rotating our perspective, we can contrast the form of the generator against the generator’s aesthetic locus:

The generative gestalt aesthetic is an abnegation of the immediate details of the sensory experience in favor of the hidden effects emanating from that experience. But there are also generators which

---

4. This idea of a generator’s “locus” is coined here in a very loose metaphor with Rotter’s “locus of control” (Rotter, 1990).
are concerned with the macro-structure of the system itself. For Short, this is partially subsumed under the principle of Salt: “For the principle of salt, the machine-that-writes matters more than the thing-written” (2015, 88).

While often associated with the Apollonian order, a Dionysian generative system can also be structurally expressive: in Jonathan Basile’s digital implementation of the *Library of Babel* (Basile, 2015) the sheer scale of the permutations transcends the noisy gestalt and turns the player’s focus to the ideas of infinity embedded in the architecture of the generator.

Short additionally associates Salt with the grammars she created that expressed specific ideas like kinds of cheese or scents of perfume (2015, 88). This echoes the design metaphor concept of an expert system: the system is an expert about itself. The map is literally the territory.\(^5\)

Generative art that borders on conceptual art tends to be about expressing ideas through the structure. For example, Aaron Reed’s *Aggressive Passive* (2013), Nick Montfort’s *Megawatt* (2014), and Andrew Plotkin’s *Redwreath and Goldstar Have Traveled to Deathsgate* (2013), all NaNoGenMo novel generators. One of the two NaNoGenMo rules is that code must be made public. Therefore, NaNoGenMo generators often are capable of outputting multiple novels. Or, as in the case of *Megawatt*, produce a deterministic output that nevertheless foregrounds the process of generation.

*Redwreath and Goldstar* operates by expanding a grammar to create a conversation that consists almost entirely of characters answering questions with more questions. *Aggressive Passive* uses a similar structure to tell stories about housemates blaming each other for not doing chores. In both cases, the individual sections of the novel are less important than the way the system as a whole operates.

---

The Poetics of Structure

One design feature of using generative forms that result from this emphasis on structure is that the player can infer how the hidden generator works and draw conclusions from that. Many generators have deliberate tells, such as the way that dungeon generation in *NetHack* (Nethack DevTeam, 2015) makes it easier to predict that a secret door might exist once the player understands how the level generator lays out space (Campbell and Verbrugge, 2018, 3-4).

There are many ways to achieve this. One way is to have a predictable distribution, such as the way weapon and item generation in *PLAYERUNKNOWN’S BATTLEGROUNDS* (PUBG Corporation, 2017) can be predicted from the kind of building they are found in, or the patterns that raw diamonds follow in *Minecraft* (Mojang, 2011), only appearing in clusters at defined depths (“Tutorials/Diamonds”, 2018; “Altitude”, 2018). Another way is to enforce consistent generative rules, such as the way that most levels in *Spelunky* (Mossmouth, 2013) always generate the exit lower than its entrance (Yu, 2016, 34-36). Yet another way is the inclusion of guaranteed or fixed content in an otherwise dynamic generator, such as the way that *NetHack* (Nethack DevTeam, 2015) has a number of special-case levels at predictable but slightly varying depths (Campbell and Verbrugge, 2018; “Special Level” 2015).

Aesthetic concepts, such as symmetry, can enable the player to infer information before actually encountering it, a property that can be carried over from wayfinding in real-world buildings, where properties of correspondence, compatibility, completeness, and so forth are considered when analyzing building design (Carlson et al., 2010). This speeds up the process of the player familiarizing themselves with the system, and allows the designer to surprise the player by breaking the pattern.
Hierarchy and Distribution

Hierarchy is an important aesthetic principle in many disciplines, such as visual hierarchy in graphic design (Clayton, 2009, 48). One way that hierarchy is expressed in procedural generation is via the distribution of the generated artifacts.

Both frequency and rarity have specific effects on the player’s perception. Rare artifacts, such as the villages and strongholds in Minecraft (Mojang, 2011), are perceived as more important and examined individually. They have an expectation of uniqueness, although repetition at long distances can also engender a sense of ritual. In contrast, frequent results are generally closer to a gestalt effect, forming the background as a contrast to the figure of the rarer results.

Distributions that follow non-linear or biased curves are often more interesting than linear probabilities: pushing the generator to extremes gives more interesting results. Just as animators use easing to create more pleasing motion, rolling a pair of dice and adding them together creates more interesting random results than rolling a single 11-sided die would. Making the highs higher but rarer creates contrast that makes them stand out, with very unusual results acting as landmarks.

Adjusting the weighting or distribution of outcomes is often an important part of the design process when working with procedural generation: in Voyageur (Dias, 2017a), Bruno Dias used both a salience system and hand-tuned weightings to control the frequency at which pieces of content would be used in the generator (Dias, 2017b).

Individual: The Artifacts as Surface

Of course, individual artifacts of the generator can be important in themselves, apart from how they relate to other generated artifacts.
After all, the artifacts are usually the only surface through which the player can observe and interact with the generator.

This borrows from Noah Wardrip-Fruin’s use: “the surface of a work of digital media is what the audience experiences: the output of the processes operating on the data, in the context of the physical hardware and setting, through which any audience interaction takes place” (2009, 10). We extend this to the idea that individual sub-processes have their own virtual surfaces: the map generator in *Minecraft* (2011) can only be experienced through the intermediate surface of the voxel blocks.

Therefore, the individual generated artifact is in triangular tension with both the form and the locus of the system. Depending on the generative system, individual artifacts can be anywhere from nearly anonymous (a tree in a forest) to the only visible artifact: the BBC Micro game *Exile* (Irvin and Smith, 1988) uses a fixed-seed generator to create its map, enabling it to fit a sprawling cave system on-disk (Levene and Anderson, 2012, 92). As with the Dionysian and Apollonian poles being distinct from complex systems, a generator that focuses on individual artifacts uses both gestalt and structure, but is ultimately something else.

In Short’s terminology, “Egg represents the egotistical, the view of the self as unique and special” (2015, 87) and “The egg principle is the principle of consensus, the principle of combination, or the principle of the authorial self” (2015, 96). Notably, Short also uses this to include human curation and mixed-initiative generation.

In a generative system that emphasizes the individual artifact, the player can be expected to scrutinize each individual result more closely. An individual-focused generator puts more depth into the generation of each artifact. Here, quality is far more interesting than quantity. Individual artifacts can, nevertheless, participate in larger systems. While the artifact as a whole might be unique, parts of it can reflect the system that made it. The engravings in *Dwarf Fortress* (Bay 12 Games, 2018) are each individual and unique.
(when created by a skilled dwarf), but the images they depict are linked to the history of the world and the events in the fortress where they are engraved (“DF2014: Engraving”, 2018).

In general terms, gestalt aesthetics tend to dominate in pure Apollonian or pure Dionysian systems, such as tile patterns or white noise. Structured aesthetics, such as Spelunky’s level generator, tend to be unbalanced mixtures of the two. Complex systems, such as the fixed-seed map generator in Exile, tend to favor individual aesthetics, because a single artifact has more scope to display internal variation. But these aesthetics are orthogonal to the Apollonian/Dionysian tension, and can be found in many different permutations.

In summary, the locus of the generator can be described as the balance between the surface of the individual artifacts it generates, the structure of the process that it uses, and the ideas that the player perceives in the gestalt of the things generated (Fig. 4).
Figure 4: Generative aesthetic locus: the player’s experience of a generator is a balance between the structure of the process, the ideas and associations the player perceives indirectly in the gestalt, and the immediate surface interface of individual artifacts that the player can interact with or directly observe.

VARIATION: MULTIPLICITY, STYLE, COHESION

A third set of aspects involves variation. A common reason to use a generative system, after all, is that the results are not fixed, or at least not predictable. But there are different kinds of variation, including multiplicity, style, and cohesion.
The most obvious form of variation is a generator that can produce a wide variety of very different results. The naive form of this is popular: for example, players and press gushing that a generator has 18 quintillion outputs (Higgins, 2014). Just as the effective complexity of white noise is lower than its incompressibility implies, the perceptual uniqueness of a generator can be much lower than its theoretical variance.

Kate Compton has framed this as the “10,000 bowls of oatmeal” problem (Compton, 2016): an oatmeal generator can produce an incomprehensibly vast number of configurations of individual oats, but they all get glossed to the gestalt symbol of nearly indistinguishable bowls of oatmeal.

To maximize the effectiveness of multiplicity, the variation should be closely tied to both the visual presentation and the other systems in the game. Effective variation matches the importance of the variation with the significance of its presentation.

For example, human visual perception strongly relies on contour. When subjected to a blank, diffuse field of vision, such as in a homogenous Ganzfeld, the observer usually ceases to perceive color (Cohen, 1958). Artists and animators use this in character design: silhouette is a stronger signal than interior color. We can likewise apply it to the design priorities in generative systems. At launch *Elite: Dangerous* (Frontier Developments, 2014) featured a space station generator that was capable of creating a wide variety of different configurations for their large, spun-axis stations. However, due to the way that the docking mechanics worked, players typically observed the station from end-on. Since the station designs were roughly cylinders, and the player was focused on the docking port in the middle, this led to the wide range of variation being much less noticeable in practice (Karth, 2015).
Style

Distinct from the aesthetics of multiplicity, variation also exists within individual artifacts. This can be either to constrain the artifact to conform to a set of constraints, or to enable the artist to shape the artifact directly. For example, a tree generator that takes the surrounding space into account and adjusts the tree accordingly. Or alternately, gives an artist control of the parameters of the generator, selecting one specific tree out of the generator’s possibility space. An instance of this in practice was the production of *The Elder Scrolls IV: Oblivion* (Bethesda, 2006), which involved using SpeedTree’s generative system to generate the trees which the artist could adjust manually, enabling them to rapidly place trees and vegetation (Davenport, 2017).

This aesthetic measure is the *style* of the artifact. Style, in this definition, measures the degree to which the generator is able to adjust an artifact to conform to an objective. In contrast to multiplicity’s diversity of outputs, style is about getting the right generated result. This use of “style” is inspired by Jo Mazeika’s work on style generation (Mazeika and Whitehead, 2017).

*Dwarf Fortress* (Bay 12 Games, 2018) uses this frequently when generating poetic forms, books, legendary artifacts, and the engravings mentioned above. Using content from the simulated world history, they exhibit style that reflects both that history and the individual history of the fortress the player has created. Similarly, *Ultima Ratio Regum*, Mark R. Johnson’s in-development roguelike, uses style for the culturally-influenced artifacts and the AI of NPCs (Johnson, 2015).

Effective Variation

Like effective complexity, effective variation is largely concerned with finding a balance between multiplicity and style (while also keeping the results coherent and consistent).
As mentioned above, one way to measure the variation of a generator is through the process of expressive range analysis, via a metric that is an emergent result of the generator rather than one of the parameters to the generator (Smith and Whitehead, 2010, 1). Exactly which emergent result to measure depends on the designer’s goals for the generator and the structure of the generative system itself.

Emily Short uses “Venom” to describe a concept related to effective variation:

Venom is meant in the sense of toxin, hallucinogen, bitterness, acid, etching, numbness, drugs, and release from the mortal coil. Venom represents that which is destructive, fictive, cruel, lovely, playful, unreliable. Poisonous things come in jewel colors. The principle of venom permits the use of connotation rather than denotation. (93)

When “writing venomously” Short recommends that, when adding variation to text, the focus should be on finding the most statistically implausible, meaning-bearing words in a sentence. When writing a template describing how a crime was committed, “the Principle of Venom suggests the use of a large, autogenerated corpus to supply the crime, rather than relying on the author’s own imagination” to produce results that “are genuinely outside the expectations of the author” (Short, 2015, 95).

Separately, Short suggests that the number of conceptually-surprising variations should be kept to a few effective ones, to avoid creating overly-complex clashing images. Over-variation is prone to a kind of metaphor fatigue.

Elsewhere, Short suggests that one way to avoid the oatmeal bowl problem is to tie the generated results back into the other systems in the game. This can either be in a structured way—which she compares to the combinatorial effects of cards in a deckbuilding
game—or in a low-level gestalt approach, with small-scale but persistent effects (Short, 2016a).

Cohesion: Data, Metadata, Beeswax

One misconception that some players have is that the ideal procedural content generator is one that creates everything from scratch, with no external content. This is a category error: every generator uses external content. In some cases that input can be supplied algorithmically via another generator, but every generator needs to ground-out in designer-created input. While it is possible to replace all textures with mathematical functions, the design of the texture-function itself is a form of expert content authoring.

Hand-authoring different generators can sometimes be the most effective way to create a generator with wide variation. Likewise, hand-authoring content is often the most efficient way to create certain kinds of inputs—many text generators exploit their specific authoring.

Data: Content

All procedural generators use some form of data. It can be via algorithms, the parameters, or some more complex hand-authored content. Moreover, the data the designer chooses to include is intrinsically bound to the effect of the generator.

It can be useful to consider a system that deploys that data separately from the corpus of data. But the data itself often has a structure that affects the distribution of the generator. Take a Markov chain text generator as an example: the algorithm remains fixed but the distribution of words in the corpus determines the distribution of words in the output. A change in the corpus has significant effect on the generated output. Even in more complex generators, such as the Deep Dream neural-network generator (Mordvintsev et al., 2015), the disproportionate number of dog-breed categories in the 2012 ImageNet image recognition dataset
affected the aesthetic results of the generator (Connor, 2015), which is sometimes called the “puppyslug” effect.

This should not be surprising: the meaning derived from the juxtaposition of two intercut images, first referred to as the Kuleshov effect (Kuleshov, 1974, 5) works as a part of film language regardless of the content it is used with, but the meaning of a particular montage depends on the content being cut. The poetics of procedural generation exhibit analogous properties: the content used in the generator matters.

Many generators produce very different results depending on the data that they use. *Spelunky* (Mossmouth, 2013) uses different templates for each area (Jungle, Ice World, etc.) and room type in its level generator. *Dungeon Crawl Stone Soup* (2017) has a large library of vault templates that it similarly deploys across its dungeon branches. *Dwarf Fortress* (Bay 12 Games, 2018) can be modded to generate very different worlds simply by editing the data files it uses.

**Metadata: Cohesion**

The struggle with data is that it needs to be self-consistent enough for the generated content to be perceived as a unified, sensible result. Some forms of data are able to use implicit relationships, such as the relationship between points in Perlin noise (Perlin, 1985), or the relationship between different instances of Perlin noise with similar parameters. But additional metadata is often needed to give the generator enough context to assemble a coherent result.

Metadata can be as simple as a tagging system giving context to the strings in a text generator. And for many generators that is enough, when combined with connotation, allusion, and elision, to

---

6. This is, notably, a point Kuleshov might disagree with: his original formalist point was that the content was subsumed by the form, influenced by the scientific management techniques of Taylor (Prince and Hensley, 1992). Though Kuleshov’s later writings revised his view to emphasize that, “In no case should one assume the entire matter of cinematography to be in montage” (Kuleshov, 1974, 195).
maintain the illusion of complex relationships (Dias, 2016). But, following the *SimCity effect*, where the expectations the surface creates connect to the procedural system they represent (Wardrip-Fruin, 2009, 301), the most effective metadata is visibly related to the shape of the underlying generative system.

Often the automated creation or use of metadata is an important step in automating the generator. The innovation of word vectors (Maas et al., 2011; Mikolov et al., 2013) has simplified natural language processing in useful ways, giving us a rough but effective way to quantify the meanings of words.

**Beeswax**

When we analyze a generator, we should also pay attention to how it integrates hand-authored content and how it intersects with the fixed systems that it lives between. For Emily Short, the use of corpora falls under the heading of Beeswax, drawing on the image of a hive sharing the task of constructing a honeycomb. Short points out that using a human-assembled corpus inevitably also brings in the cultural assumptions of those who compiled it (Short, 2016b), which is its own form of metadata.

Beeswax also includes the idea of one-off content and content that is “hand-written for particular cases” (90). This point should not be overlooked: when discussing procedural generators, it is tempting to focus solely on the most generative parts, but the hand-authored content often anchors the generator’s structure. *NetHack* (2015) is well known for including hand-authored responses to unusual situations, inspiring the Usenet meme that “the dev team thinks of everything” (Bridgman, 2016).

**FUTURE WORK**

The model, deliberately incomplete, lacks characterization of several important aspects, such as interactivity and user

---

7. See the many rec.games.roguelike.nethack Usenet posts to this effect, for example: Ashmead (2004).
involvement, giving a starting point for future research. However even with the present state of the model, there are two immediate applications: as a metric for criticism and as an evaluation tool during the creative process. By applying the model to existing and future works we can test the usefulness (and validity) of the model. Can it usefully describe the aesthetic properties of a wide range of generative works? Can we improve a generator by evaluating it with this model? Do the changes the model suggests align with player perception of the expressive range?

Possible future directions of inquiry include examining the metaphor of visualizing generative aesthetics in parametric probability generative space. Existing aesthetic categories such as balance and contrast seem to usefully apply to the Gaussian roll of two dice or the possible floorplans for a dungeon. Other aesthetic lenses might be equally fruitful. In particular, the examination of the effects of different forms of probabilistic distributions has deep scope for examination: the discussion of Apollonian and Dionysian in noise only scratched the surface of noise color, noise warping, and the perceptual uniqueness of landmarks in generative space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complexity</th>
<th>The balance between Apollonian (generation by rules and structures) and Dionysian (generation that uses chance and disorder). The combination of both produces Complexity and information (in the information theory sense).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form</td>
<td>The balance between the Individual generated artifact, the Formal Gestalt of all the artifacts the generator produces, and the degree of Repetition (or obvious lack of perceived uniqueness).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus</td>
<td>Where the player’s focus is centered, in a balance between the Structure of the generator’s processes, the Locus Gestalt of the generator’s output, and the Surface of the immediate experience of individual generated artifacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation</td>
<td>The generator’s output can exhibit Multiplicity by generating many perceptually distinct things; Style by generating things that conform to an objective; or the content a generator uses as data and how Cohesive the results are.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: A summary of the aspects of generative poetics this paper covers.
We have introduced a series of lenses for analyzing procedural generation from an aesthetic and experiential perspective (Table 1). The lack of vocabulary to talk about the why of procedural generation has led to inappropriate application of metrics—for example, the popular press gushing about use of 64-bit seeds in No Man’s Sky, or applying the linearity and leniency metrics introduced in expressive range analysis (Smith and Whitehead, 2010) to generators that have nothing to do with Mario level generation. Using the framework presented in this article, game studies critics have a more nuanced way to discuss the output of generators, designers and developers have tools to describe their priorities when designing new generators, and researchers have a blueprint for defining their research into new forms of procedural generation.

The way a generator expresses information can be through Apollonian tile patterns, Dionysian noise or complex combinations of the two. The form of the generator can expressively use gestalt forests, repetition of orchards, or the generation of individual trees. The locus of the generation can be on the details of an individual artifact, as in the map in Exile; the structure of the generation process, as in the pattern of diamonds in Minecraft; or the gestalt of the generator’s output, as in the planets in No Man’s Sky. Individual artifacts bridge both the form and the locus. Orthogonal to both form and locus, the variation can exhibit the multiplicity of perceptually unique output, cohesion of the results, and a style that conforms to a goal. The different principles are related but distinct, perhaps best imagined as a multidimensional vector with partially interrelated axes, or a series of contrasts and congruencies.

We have presented a preliminary outline of the poetics of procedural generation in games, sketching out some of the principles that describe how generators are used and how we can meaningfully discuss the shape of the things they generate. It is our hope that this initial work can inspire further refinements and
foster deeper discussion of how procedural generation is used in games.
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